

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

YOON, Jee Hong

Hannuri Bldg, 219 Naeja-dong, Chongno-gu, Seoul 110-053,
Republic of Korea

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

18 OCTOBER 2004 (18.10.2004)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

FE241494

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001880

International filing date (day/month/year)

26 JULY 2004 (26.07.2004)

Priority date(day/month/year)

25 JULY 2003 (25.07.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC7 H04B 7/26

Applicant

UTStarcom Korea Limited et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office
920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701,
Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

SHIN, Jun Ho

Telephone No. 82-42-481-8129



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001880

Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001880

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1 - 3</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>2, 3</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1 - 3</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations :

Reference is made to the following document :

D: US 6584315 B1 (24 June 2003)

D, which is considered to represent the closest prior art to the invention of claim 1, discloses a method of allocating a frame offset and a link in a base station, comprising the steps of: checking a link state; determining whether the link is being used or not; analyzing the method of allocating a communication channel; and selecting a link having less users (D, column 2, line 55 to column 3, line 11). The difference between claim 1 and D is the structure of the link database. However, it is obvious to a skilled person in the art that the items of the link database are necessary information to implement the method of D1. Therefore, claim 1 lacks an inventive step.